Filed 12/21/2007 Page 1 of 3

Case 4:07-cv-03255-SBA Document 52

	H	
1	A.G. Spanos Development, Inc., A.G. Spanos Land Company, Inc., A.G. Spanos	
2	Management, Inc., and The Spanos Corporation ("Defendants") will and hereby do move this	
3	court, pursuant to Rule 12(f) of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure, for an order striking	
4	various claims for relief sought by Plaintiffs National Fair Housing Alliance, Inc., Fair	
5	Housing of Marin, Inc., Fair Housing Napa Valley, Inc., Metro Fair Housing Services, Inc.,	
6	Fair Housing Continuum, Inc. ("Plaintiffs").	
7	The basis of this Motion, as set forth more fully in the accompanying Memorandum of	
8	Points and Authorities, is that:	
9 10	]	PLAINTIFFS' REQUEST FOR DAMAGES SHOULD BE STRICKEN BECAUSE DAMAGES ARE NOT RECOVERABLE BY THESE PLAINTIFFS.
11	II.	PLAINTIFFS' REQUEST FOR PUNITIVE DAMAGES SHOULD BE
12	<u> </u>	STRICKEN BECAUSE PUNITIVE DAMAGES ARE NOT RECOVERABLE AS A MATTER OF LAW.
13	<u> </u>	PLAINTIFFS' REQUEST FOR INJUNCTIVE RELIEF REGARDING ALL APARTMENTS PREVIOUSLY BUILT SHOULD BE STRICKEN BECAUSE
14		PLAINTIFFS HAVE FAILED TO STATE A CLAIM FOR SUCH RELIEF.
15 16	4	A. <u>Plaintiffs Have Failed to State a Claim for Injunctive Relief Because</u> <u>Plaintiffs Have Not Named the Owners, Renters, and Secured Lenders of the Subject Properties.</u>
17 18	]	B. Plaintiffs Have Failed to State a Claim for Injunctive Relief under the FHAA Against the Spanos Defendants Because Plaintiffs Fail to Allege That the Spanos Defendants Actually Denied, or Could Actually Deny, a
19		Rental to Anyone.
20		THOSE PORTIONS OF PLAINTIFFS' FIRST AMENDED COMPLAINT THAT SEEK RELIEF BARRED BY THE STATUTE OF LIMITATIONS SHOULD BE STRICKEN.
21		
22	V. <u>I</u>	PLAINTIFFS' CLAIMS FOR RELIEF BASED ON THE UNTESTED AND UNKNOWN PROPERTIES SHOULD BE STRICKEN BECAUSE
23	! <u>I</u>	PLAINTIFFS COULD NOT HAVE SUFFERED INJURY CAUSED BY TESTING UNTESTED OR UNKNOWN PROPERTIES.
24		
25	This Motion will be based upon this Notice of Motion and Motion, the Memorandum of	
26	Points and Authorities in support of this Motion, the Request for Judicial Notice in support of	
27	this Motion, and the pleadings, orders, records and documents on file in this case, as well as	
8	such oral and documentary evidence as may be properly presented at the time of the hearing on	

this Motion. Opposition, if any, to the granting of the motion must be served and filed not less 1 than twenty-one (21) days before the hearing date. If the party against whom the motion is 2 directed does not oppose the motion, that party must file with the Court a Statement of Non-3 opposition within the time for filing and serving any opposition. See Local Rule 7-3(a) and 7-4 5 3(b). FREEMAN, D'AIUTO, PIERCE, GUREV, Dated: December 21, 2007 6 KEELING & WOLF 7 By 8 Attorneys for Defendants A.G. Spanos 9 Construction, Inc.; A.G. Spanos Development, Inc.; A.G. Spanos Land Company, Inc.; A.G. 10 Spanos Management, Inc., The Spanos Corporation 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26 27 28